

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,556	10/03/2003	Binith Ratilal Shah	56169539-6	2543
7590 06/23/2006			EXAMINER	
BINITH RATILAL SHAH			MOHANDESI, JILA M	
24 OLD BOND STREET, 3RD FLOOR LONDON, WIS 4AP,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
UNITED KINGDOM			3728	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				k				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/678,556	SHAH ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jila M. Mohandesi	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO g - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	:				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	ebruary 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.						
		·						
Application	•							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		by the Everiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	. received.					
Attachment	(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/678,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by White et al. (5,339,252).
- 3. Claims 1-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dunham et al. (3,696,456).
- 4. Claims 1-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Marshall (6,042,759).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 09, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a three dimensional scan of the user's foot and the process adjusting the heel height and pitch of the last and account for toe shapes) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,556 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

6. In response to applicant's argument that Marshall patent is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Marshall clearly discloses a method of making lasts (molds 30) based on feed back from the individual.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

Art Unit: 3728

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM June 21, 2006